

**STATE OF ALABAMA
BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND
LAND SURVEYORS**

IN RE THE MATTER OF:

Case No. 10-46-B

**PARSONS INFRASTRUCTURE
AND TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.**

CONSENT ORDER

Parsons Infrastructure & Technology Group, Inc., a certificated company (hereinafter referred to as "Respondent") by and through its representative Vice President and ^{DEPUTY} Division ~~Manager~~ EJ Kenneth J. Stockwell, hereby stipulates and agrees, subject to approval of the State of Alabama Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors (hereinafter referred to as the "Board") as follows:

STIPULATED FACTS

Respondent stipulates on July 31, 1997 the Board issued Respondent a Certificate of Authorization for engineering (CA-1755-E) authorizing Respondent to practice engineering and to maintain a branch office in Huntsville, Alabama. Respondent stipulates it held that certificate continuously from that date until January 31, 2010 when it was placed in lapsed status. Respondent stipulates the Certificate of Authorization was returned to active status on October 18, 2010.

Respondent stipulates in a letter dated February 6, 2010 the Board notified Ms. Lael-Ruth Sumner Feist, the licensed professional engineer identified as principal for Respondent and advised its Certificate of Authorization for engineering had lapsed on January 31, 2010. Respondent further stipulates Ms. Feist failed to respond to the Board's notification and as of February 2010 Ms. Feist was no longer employed by Respondent.

Respondent stipulates on May 5, 2010 the Board received an application for licensure from Mr. Gregory Nivens, indicating he obtained engineering experience between March 2005 and May 2010 as a project manager for Respondent in its branch office located in Huntsville, Alabama. Respondent also stipulates the engineering experience indicated in the application was verified on May 10, 2010 by Mr. Kenneth J. Stockwell.

Respondent stipulates on September 17, 2010 the Board initiated a complaint that indicated Respondent may be maintaining a Branch Office in Huntsville, Alabama and continuing to provide engineering services in Alabama during a time its Certificate of Authorization was in lapsed status.

Respondent stipulates between February 2010 and October 2010, it did not employ an Alabama licensed professional engineer at its Huntsville, Alabama branch office. Respondent further stipulates it maintains an on-going contract with the federal government to provide services that include engineering for projects in the Huntsville and Gadsden areas of Alabama.

STIPULATED CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Respondent stipulates it is subject to the provisions of Title 34, Chapter 11, Code of Alabama 1975, and the Rules and Regulations of the *Administrative Code* of this Board.

Respondent stipulates its conduct as set forth in the foregoing "Stipulated Facts" may constitute violations of Title 34, Chapter 11, Code of Alabama 1975, § 34-11-9(a)(1 & 5), and violations of the Rules of Professional Conduct of the *Administrative Code* § 330-X-14-.06(a)(1), and § 330-X-15-.01(2)

Respondent stipulates the conduct set forth in the "Stipulated Facts" may constitute a violation of those rules and statutes set forth above, and respondent is subjecting itself to disciplinary action under Title 34, Chapter 11, Code of Alabama 1975, § 34-11-11.

STIPULATED DISPOSITION

1. Respondent shall not in the future violate the provisions of Title 34, Chapter 11, Code of Alabama 1975, or the Rules and Regulations of the Administrative Code and the Rules of Professional Conduct promulgated together.

2. Respondent understands this Consent Order and subsequent Final Order will become a matter of public record.

3. Respondent understands its certificate of authorization for engineering in the State of Alabama shall be subject to six (6) months **probation** commencing upon date of Final Order.

4. Respondent agrees he shall pay a fine of \$1,000.00 (one thousand dollars) to the Board within thirty days of date of Final Order.

5. Respondent understands this Consent Order is subject to the approval of the Board and has no force and effect until a Final Order based upon it is rendered by the Board.

6. Respondent agrees any violation of the terms of this Consent Order, may result in the Board filing additional charges in accordance with the Title 34, Chapter 11, Code of Alabama 1975, § 34-11-11.


7. Respondent understands in order to make a decision relative to approving this Consent Order discussions must take place between Board Members, Board Staff and Board Counsel. Respondent understands that this discussion will take place at a regularly scheduled meeting of the Board in Montgomery Alabama. Respondent understands he has the right to be present when this matter is discussed but hereby waives that right.

8. Respondent understands he has the right to seek the advice of legal counsel. Respondent also understands he has the right to a formal fact finding hearing before the Board, to compel the testimony of witnesses, to cross-examine witnesses against him, and to obtain judicial review of the Board's decision in said formal hearing but expressly waives these rights with execution of this Consent Order and Final Order of the Board.

9. Respondent expressly waives all further procedural steps, and expressly waives all rights to seek judicial review or to otherwise challenge or contest the validity of the Consent Order, the stipulated facts, conclusions of law and imposition of administrative action contained herein, and the Final Order of the Board incorporating said Consent Order.

10. Respondent, for the purpose of avoiding further administrative action with respect to this cause executes this Consent Order. Should the Consent Order not be accepted by the Board, it is agreed that presentation to and consideration of the Consent Order by the Board shall not unfairly or illegally prejudice the Board or any of its members from further participation in or resolution of these proceedings. Likewise, if this Consent Order is not accepted, the Board shall not take into consideration the contents of this Order as evidence of an admission.

SIGNED this the 7th day of JANUARY, 2011


Kenneth J. Stockwell
Vice President, Division Manager
Parsons Infrastructure & Technology Group

APPROVED:


Benjamin H. Albritton
Assistant Attorney General

STATE OF ALABAMA
BOARD OF LICENSURE FOR
PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND
LAND SURVEYORS

IN RE THE MATTER OF:

PARSONS INFRASTRUCTURE
AND TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.

Case No. 10-46-B

FINAL ORDER

On January 31, 2011, the Alabama Board of Licensure for Professional Engineers and Land Surveyors convened for a regularly scheduled Board meeting. The Board Members in attendance at the meeting were: Mr. C. Michael Arnold, Mr. William C. Ulrich, Jr., Mr. W. Gerald Wilbanks, Dr. Daniel S. Turner, Mr. Phillip E. Santora, Mr. Earl R. Foust and Mr. Don T. Arkle.


This Final Order is based upon a Consent Order signed by Parsons Infrastructure and Technology Group, Inc., (hereafter referred to as Respondent) by and through its representative Kenneth J. Stockwell and dated January 7, 2011. In the Consent Order, Respondent stipulated he violated the Code of Alabama 1975, § 34-11-9(a)(1 & 5), and the Rules of Professional Conduct of the Administrative Code § 330-X-14-.06(a)(1), and § 330-X-15-.01(2).

Upon Consideration of the above it is **ORDERED** that the Consent Order dated January 7, 2011 is adopted by the Board and hereby made a part of this Final Order. It is further **ORDERED** that Respondent abide by the Final Order in this manner.

ENTERED this the 31st day of January, 2011


RECUSED

C. Michael Arnold


W. Gerald Wilbanks


Phillip E. Santora


Don T. Arkle


William C. Ulrich, Jr


Daniel S. Turner


Earl R. Foust